

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/598,439	Applicant(s) KOEPPPEL ET AL.	
	Examiner Darwin P. Erez	Art Unit 3773	

All Participants:

(1) Darwin P. Erez.

(2) Brad Groff.

Date of Interview: 25 September 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 19

Prior art documents discussed:

US 5,356,420 to Czernecki

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 19 was amended to read over the Czernecki reference. See the examiner's amendment for the changes.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)